



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/631,972

08/01/2003

Daniel Lee Hamilton

23952-0109

3678

72386

7590

05/14/2008

SUTHERLAND II

SUTHERLAND, ASBILL & BRENNAN, LLC

999 PEACHTREE STREET

ATLANTA, GA 30309

EXAMINER

SHAIKH, MOHAMMAD Z

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3696

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/14/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/631,972	Applicant(s) HAMILTON ET AL.	
	Examiner MOHAMMAD Z. SHAIKH	Art Unit 3696	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 October 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 7-16 and 18-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/24/04, 2/13/08</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to an AMENDMENT entered 1/29/08 for patent application 10/631,972.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1-4, 7-16, 18-26 are pending in this application.
3. Claims 5-6 & 16-17 have been cancelled.
4. Claims 1-4, 7-15, 18-22 have been amended without prejudice or disclaimer.
5. Claims 23-26 have been added.

Claim Rejections- 35 U.S.C § 102

6. Examiner is withdrawing the 102(b) rejection for claims 1, 3-4, and 7.

Claim Rejections- 35 U.S.C § 103

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-4, and 7 are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 1-4,7-12 are being rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over 2004/0019605 to Keown et al, herein Keown in view of 2005/0010523 to Myklebust et al, herein, Myklebust and in view of 6,647,376 to Faraar et al,

herein Faraar, and further in view of US 2004/0230526 to Praisner et al, herein Praisner.

Regarding claim 1, Keown discloses a method comprising: receiving a payment to pay a payee on behalf of a payor wherein the payment request is associated with a payor account ([0038]). However Keown does not disclose determining a plurality of debit options, wherein each debit option of the plurality of debit options is applicable for debiting the payor account; automatically selecting one of the plurality of debit options based, at least in part, on at least one processing factor, wherein the at least one processing factor is at least one of a risk factor, a speed factor, and a cost factor; determining a credit option associated with the one of the plurality of debit options and executing the payment request using the one of the plurality of debit options and the credit option. Mkyalebust discloses determining a plurality of debit options, wherein each debit option of the plurality of debit options is applicable for debiting the payor account (Fig 15: 562, 564, 566, 568). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Keown's invention to include determining a plurality of debit options, wherein each debit option of the plurality of debit options is applicable for debiting the payor account. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include determining a plurality of debit options, wherein each debit option of the plurality of debit options is applicable for debiting the payor account in order to ensure that all possible debiting options are available to the user. Faraar discloses automatically selecting one of the plurality of debit options based, at least in part, on at least one processing factor, wherein the at

least one processing factor is at least one of a risk factor, a speed factor, and a cost factor (column 11, lines 51-66). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Keown's invention to include automatically selecting one of the plurality of debit options based, at least in part, on at least one processing factor, wherein the at least one processing factor is at least one of a risk factor, a speed factor, and a cost factor. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include automatically selecting one of the plurality of debit options based, at least in part, on at least one processing factor, wherein the at least one processing factor is at least one of a risk factor, a speed factor, and a cost factor in order to ensure that the payee's financial interests are protected at all times. Praisner discloses determining a credit option associated with the one of the plurality of debit options and executing the payment request using the one of the plurality of debit options and the credit option (Fig 2: 204, 208, 210, 212; [0032]). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Keown's invention to include determining a credit option associated with the one of the plurality of debit options and executing the payment request using the one of the plurality of debit options and the credit option. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include determining a credit option associated with the one of the plurality of debit options and executing the payment request using the one of the plurality of debit options and the credit option in order to ensure that entire payment process operates efficiently.

Regarding claim 2, Keown discloses the method of claim 1. However Keown does not disclose wherein the plurality of debit options include i) electronically debiting

Art Unit: 3696

the payor account responsive to determining that funds in at least an amount of the payment request are available in the payor account, ii) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that an entity is available from which to collect funds if funds cannot be collected from the payor in association with effecting the payment, iii) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to selecting an account to receive an electronic credit associated with the electronic debit, the selected account associated with a payment service provider, iv) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that a risk of financial loss to the payment service provider associated with effecting the payment on behalf of the payor is an acceptable risk, the determination based upon information associated with at least one of an amount of the payment and one or more prior payments effected on behalf of the payor, and v) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that an electronic credit can be initiated by the payment service provider to reverse the electronic debit if funds cannot be collected from the payor in association with the effected payment.

Faraar discloses wherein the plurality of debit options include i) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that funds in at least an amount of the payment request are available in the payor account, ii) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that an entity is available from which to collect funds if funds cannot be collected from the payor in association with effecting the payment, iii) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to selecting an account to receive an electronic credit associated with the electronic debit, the selected account associated with a payment service provider, iv) electronically debiting the payor account responsive

Art Unit: 3696

to determining that a risk of financial loss to the payment service provider associated with effecting the payment on behalf of the payor is an acceptable risk, the determination based upon information associated with at least one of an amount of the payment and one or more prior payments effected on behalf of the payor (column 12, lines 1-24). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Keown's invention to include wherein the plurality of debit options include i) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that funds in at least an amount of the payment request are available in the payor account, ii) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that an entity is available from which to collect funds if funds cannot be collected from the payor in association with effecting the payment, iii) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to selecting an account to receive an electronic credit associated with the electronic debit, the selected account associated with a payment service provider, iv) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that a risk of financial loss to the payment service provider associated with effecting the payment on behalf of the payor is an acceptable risk, the determination based upon information associated with at least one of an amount of the payment and one or more prior payments effected on behalf of the payor. One of ordinary skill in the art would have motivated to include wherein the plurality of debit options include i) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that funds in at least an amount of the payment request are available in the payor account, ii) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that an entity is available from which to collect

funds if funds cannot be collected from the payor in association with effecting the payment, iii) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to selecting an account to receive an electronic credit associated with the electronic debit, the selected account associated with a payment service provider, iv) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that a risk of financial loss to the payment service provider associated with effecting the payment on behalf of the payor is an acceptable risk, the determination based upon information associated with at least one of an amount of the payment and one or more prior payments effected on behalf of the payor in order to make the entire payment process more efficient and also to minimize the risk to the entity which is guaranteeing the funds. Keown further discloses electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that an electronic credit can be initiated by the payment service provider to reverse the electronic debit if funds cannot be collected from the payor in association with the effected payment ([0074]).

Regarding claim 3, Keown discloses the method of claim 1. Keown further discloses wherein each of the debit options is determined based, at least in part, upon at least one of i) the identity of the payee ([0028]), ii) the identity of the payor ([0034]), iii) the identity of a financial institution at which the payor account is maintained ([0070]), iv) the identity of a consumer service provider with which the payor is associated ([0072]), and v) a type of payment service offered by a payment service provider utilized by the payor ([0036]).

Regarding claim 4, Keown discloses the method of claim 1. However Keown does not disclose wherein executing the payment request using the one of the second

Art Unit: 3696

plurality of debit options and the credit option includes issuing an electronic funds transfer file via the ACH network. Myklebust discloses wherein executing the payment request using the one of the second plurality of debit options and the credit option includes issuing an electronic funds transfer file via the ACH network (Fig 15: 562, 564, 566, 568; [0025], [0032]). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Keown's invention to include wherein executing the payment request using the one of the second plurality of debit options and the credit option includes issuing an electronic funds transfer file via the ACH network. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include wherein executing the payment request using the one of the second plurality of debit options and the credit option includes issuing an electronic funds transfer file via the ACH network in order to ensure that the entire payment process operates efficiently.

Regarding claim 7, Keown discloses the method of claim 1. However Keown does not disclose wherein the debit options are determined based upon the received payment request. Myklebust discloses wherein the debit options are determined based upon the received payment request. (Fig 15: 558, 560, 562, 564, 566, 568). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Keown's invention to include wherein the debit options are determined based upon the received payment request. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include wherein the debit options are determined based upon the received payment request in order to ensure that all debiting options are available to the user.

Regarding claim 8, Keown discloses the method of claim 1. However Keown does not disclose wherein each of the plurality of debit options is associated with a priority and two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available, and further comprising: determining one of the two or more identified debit options having a highest associated priority; wherein the one of the debit options having the highest priority is selected. Praisner discloses wherein each of the plurality of debit options is associated with a priority and two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available, and further comprising: determining one of the two or more identified debit options having a highest associated priority; wherein the one of the debit options having the highest priority is selected (Fig 4: 402,404,406; [0032], [0034]). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art at the time of the invention to modify Keown's invention to include wherein each of the plurality of debit options is associated with a priority and two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available, and further comprising: determining one of the two or more identified debit options having a highest associated priority; wherein the one of the debit options having the highest priority is selected. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include wherein each of the plurality of debit options is associated with a priority and two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available, and further comprising: determining one of the two or more identified debit options having a highest associated priority; wherein the one of the debit options having the highest priority is selected in order to ensure that the transactions with the highest priority is process first.

Regarding claim 9, Keown discloses the method of claim 1. However, Keown does not disclose wherein two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available, and further comprising: determining one of the two or more determined debit options associated with a shortest time period to complete payment to the payee on behalf of the payor; wherein the one of the debit options associated with the shortest time period to complete payment to the payee on behalf of the payor is selected.

Praisner discloses wherein two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available, and further comprising: determining one of the two or more determined debit options associated with a shortest time period to complete payment to the payee on behalf of the payor; wherein the one of the debit options associated with the shortest time period to complete payment to the payee on behalf of the payor is selected (Fig 4; [0034]). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Keown's invention to include wherein two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available, and further comprising: determining one of the two or more determined debit options associated with a shortest time period to complete payment to the payee on behalf of the payor; wherein the one of the debit options associated with the shortest time period to complete payment to the payee on behalf of the payor is selected. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include wherein two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available, and further comprising: determining one of the two or more determined debit options associated with a shortest time period to complete payment to the payee on behalf of the payor; wherein the one of the debit

options associated with the shortest time period to complete payment to the payee on behalf of the payor is selected in order to make the entire payment process more efficient.

Regarding claim 10, Keown discloses the method of claim 1. However Keown does not disclose wherein two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available, and further comprising: determining one of the two or more identified debit options associated with a lesser cost to a payment service provider; wherein the one of the debit options associated with the lesser cost to the payment service provider is selected. Myklebust discloses wherein two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available, and further comprising: determining one of the two or more identified debit options associated with a lesser cost to a payment service provider; wherein the one of the debit options associated with the lesser cost to the payment service provider is selected (Fig 15: 562, 564, 568; [0031]). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Keown's invention to include wherein two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available, and further comprising: determining one of the two or more identified debit options associated with a lesser cost to a payment service provider; wherein the one of the debit options associated with the lesser cost to the payment service provider is selected. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include wherein two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available, and further comprising: determining one of the two or more identified debit options associated with a lesser cost to a payment service provider;

wherein the one of the debit options associated with the lesser cost to the payment service provider is selected in order to ensure that the entire payment process operates efficiently.

Regarding claim 11, Keown discloses the method of claim 1. However Keown does not disclose wherein two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available, and further comprising: determining one of the two or more identified debit options which provides a highest level of protection against financial loss to a payment service provider; wherein the one of the debit options which provides the highest level of protection against financial loss to the payment service provider is selected. Mykelbust discloses wherein two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available, and further comprising: determining one of the two or more identified debit options which provides a highest level of protection against financial loss to a payment service provider; wherein the one of the debit options which provides the highest level of protection against financial loss to the payment service provider is selected (Fig 15: 562, 564, 568; [0029]). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Keown's invention to include wherein two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available, and further comprising: determining one of the two or more identified debit options which provides a highest level of protection against financial loss to a payment service provider; wherein the one of the debit options which provides the highest level of protection against financial loss to the payment service provider is selected. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include wherein

two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available, and further comprising: determining one of the two or more identified debit options which provides a highest level of protection against financial loss to a payment service provider; wherein the one of the debit options which provides the highest level of protection against financial loss to the payment service provider is selected in order to ensure the entire payment process is as efficient as possible.

Regarding claim 12, Keown discloses a system comprising: a communication interface configured to receive a payment request to pay a payee on behalf of a payor, wherein the payment request is associated with a payor account ([0038]; claim 22). Keown does not disclose a processor configured to i) determine a plurality of debit options, wherein each debit option of the plurality of debit options is applicable for debiting the payor account, ii) automatically select one of the plurality of debit options based, at least in part, on at least one processing factor, wherein the at least one processing factor is at least one of a risk factor, a speed factor, and a cost factor, iii) determine a credit option associated with the one of the plurality of debit options, and iv) execute the payment request using the one of the plurality of debit options and the credit option. Mykelbust discloses a processor configured to i) determine a plurality of debit options, wherein each debit option of the plurality of debit options is applicable for debiting the payor account ([0120], Fig 15: 564, 566, 568). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Keown's invention to include a processor configured to i) determine a plurality of debit options, wherein each debit option of the plurality of debit options is applicable for

Art Unit: 3696

debiting the payor account. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include discloses a processor configured to i) determine a plurality of debit options, wherein each debit option of the plurality of debit options is applicable for debiting the payor account in order to give the user the flexibility of having different debiting options. Faraar discloses automatically select one of the plurality of debit options based, at least in part, on at least one processing factor, wherein the at least one processing factor is at least one of a risk factor, a speed factor, and a cost factor (column 11, lines 51-66).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Keown's invention to include automatically select one of the plurality of debit options based, at least in part, on at least one processing factor, wherein the at least one processing factor is at least one of a risk factor, a speed factor, and a cost factor. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include automatically select one of the plurality of debit options based, at least in part, on at least one processing factor, wherein the at least one processing factor is at least one of a risk factor, a speed factor, and a cost factor in order to ensure that the payee receives the payment from the payor in a timely manner. Praisner discloses determining a credit option associated with the one of the plurality of debit options and executing the payment request using the one of the plurality of debit options and the credit option (Fig 2: 204, 208, 210, 212; [0032]). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Keown's invention to include determining a credit option associated with the one of the plurality of debit options and executing the payment request using the one of the plurality of debit options and the

credit option. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include determining a credit option associated with the one of the plurality of debit options and executing the payment request using the one of the plurality of debit options and the credit option in order to ensure that entire payment process operates efficiently.

Regarding claim 13, Keown discloses the system of claim 12. However Keown does not disclose wherein the plurality of debit options include i) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that funds in at least an amount of the payment request are available in the payor account, ii) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that an entity is available from which to collect funds if funds cannot be collected from the payor in association with effecting the payment, iii) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to selecting an account to receive an electronic credit associated with the electronic debit, the selected account associated with a payment service provider, iv) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that a risk of financial loss to the payment service provider associated with effecting the payment on behalf of the payor is an acceptable risk, the determination based upon information associated with at least one of an amount of the payment and one or more prior payments effected on behalf of the payor, and v) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that an electronic credit can be initiated by the payment service provider to reverse the electronic debit if funds cannot be collected from the payor in association with the effected payment. Faraar discloses wherein the plurality of debit options include i) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that funds in at least an amount of the

Art Unit: 3696

payment request are available in the payor account, ii) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that an entity is available from which to collect funds if funds cannot be collected from the payor in association with effecting the payment, iii) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to selecting an account to receive an electronic credit associated with the electronic debit, the selected account associated with a payment service provider, iv) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that a risk of financial loss to the payment service provider associated with effecting the payment on behalf of the payor is an acceptable risk, the determination based upon information associated with at least one of an amount of the payment and one or more prior payments effected on behalf of the payor (column 12, lines 1-24). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Keown's invention to include wherein the plurality of debit options include i) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that funds in at least an amount of the payment request are available in the payor account, ii) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that an entity is available from which to collect funds if funds cannot be collected from the payor in association with effecting the payment, iii) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to selecting an account to receive an electronic credit associated with the electronic debit, the selected account associated with a payment service provider, iv) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that a risk of financial loss to the payment service provider associated with effecting the payment on behalf of the payor is an acceptable risk, the determination based upon

Art Unit: 3696

information associated with at least one of an amount of the payment and one or more prior payments effected on behalf of the payor. One of ordinary skill in the art would have motivated to include wherein the plurality of debit options include i) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that funds in at least an amount of the payment request are available in the payor account, ii) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that an entity is available from which to collect funds if funds cannot be collected from the payor in association with effecting the payment, iii) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to selecting an account to receive an electronic credit associated with the electronic debit, the selected account associated with a payment service provider, iv) electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that a risk of financial loss to the payment service provider associated with effecting the payment on behalf of the payor is an acceptable risk, the determination based upon information associated with at least one of an amount of the payment and one or more prior payments effected on behalf of the payor in order to make the entire payment process more efficient and also to minimize the risk to the entity which is guaranteeing the funds. Keown further discloses electronically debiting the payor account responsive to determining that an electronic credit can be initiated by the payment service provider to reverse the electronic debit if funds cannot be collected from the payor in association with the effected payment ([0074]).

Regarding claim 14, Keown discloses the system of claim 12. . Keown further discloses wherein each of the debit options is determined based, at least in part, upon at least one of i) the identity of the payee ([0028]), ii) the identity of the payor ([0034]),

iii) the identity of a financial institution at which the payor account is maintained ([0070]),
iv) the identity of a consumer service provider with which the payor is associated
([0072]), and v) a type of payment service offered by a payment service provider utilized
by the payor ([0036]).

Regarding claim 15, Keown discloses the system of claim 12. However Keown does not disclose wherein executing the payment request using the one of the second plurality of debit options and the credit option includes issuing an electronic funds transfer file via the ACH network. Myklebust discloses wherein executing the payment request using the one of the second plurality of debit options and the credit option includes issuing an electronic funds transfer file via the ACH network (Fig 15: 562, 564, 566, 568; [0025], [0032]). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Keown's invention to include wherein executing the payment request using the one of the second plurality of debit options and the credit option includes issuing an electronic funds transfer file via the ACH network. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include wherein executing the payment request using the one of the second plurality of debit options and the credit option includes issuing an electronic funds transfer file via the ACH network in order to ensure that the entire payment process operates efficiently.

Regarding claim 18, Keown discloses the system of claim 12. However Keown does not disclose wherein the debit options are determined based upon the received payment request. Myklebust discloses wherein the debit options are determined based upon the received payment request. (Fig 15: 558, 560, 562, 564, 566, 568). Therefore it

Art Unit: 3696

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Keown's invention to include wherein the debit options are determined based upon the received payment request. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include wherein the debit options are determined based upon the received payment request in order to ensure that all debiting options are available to the user.

Regarding claim 19, Keown discloses the system of claim 12. However Keown does not disclose wherein: each of the plurality of electronic debiting debit options is associated with a priority; two or more of the plurality of electronic debit options are determined identified as being available; and the processor is further configured to i) determine one of the two or more debit options having a highest associated priority, and ii) select the one of the debit options having a highest associated priority. Praisner discloses wherein: each of the plurality of electronic debiting debit options is associated with a priority; two or more of the plurality of electronic debit options are determined identified as being available; and the processor is further configured to i) determine one of the two or more debit options having a highest associated priority, and ii) select the one of the debit options having a highest associated priority (Fig 15:562,564,566,568; Fig 4: 402,404,406; [0032], [0034]). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art at the time of the invention to modify Keown's invention to include wherein: each of the plurality of electronic debiting debit options is associated with a priority; two or more of the plurality of electronic debit options are determined identified as being available; and the processor is further configured to i) determine one of the two or more debit options having a highest associated priority, and ii) select the one of the

Art Unit: 3696

debit options having a highest associated priority. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include wherein: each of the plurality of electronic debiting debit options is associated with a priority; two or more of the plurality of electronic debit options are determined identified as being available; and the processor is further configured to i) determine one of the two or more debit options having a highest associated priority, and ii) select the one of the debit options having a highest associated priority in order to ensure that the transactions with the highest priority is processed first.

Regarding claim 20, Keown discloses the system of claim 12. However Keown does not disclose two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available; and the processor is further configured to i) determine the one of the two or more debit options associated with a shortest time period to complete payment to the payee on behalf of the payor, and ii) select the one of the debit options associated with the shortest time period to complete payment to the payee on behalf of the payor. Praisner discloses two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available; and the processor is further configured to i) determine the one of the two or more debit options associated with a shortest time period to complete payment to the payee on behalf of the payor, and ii) select the one of the debit options associated with the shortest time period to complete payment to the payee on behalf of the payor (Fig 15: 562,564,566,568; Fig 4; [0034]). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Keown's invention to include two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available;

and the processor is further configured to i) determine the one of the two or more debit options associated with a shortest time period to complete payment to the payee on behalf of the payor, and ii) select the one of the debit options associated with the shortest time period to complete payment to the payee on behalf of the payor. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined

as being available; and the processor is further configured to i) determine the one of the two or more debit options associated with a shortest time period to complete payment to the payee on behalf of the payor, and ii) select the one of the debit options associated with the shortest time period to complete payment to the payee on behalf of the payor in order to make the entire payment process more efficient.

Regarding claim 21, Keown discloses the system of claim 12. However Keown does not disclose two or more of the plurality of electronic debit options are determined as being available; and the processor is further configured to i) determine one of the two or more debit options associated with a lesser cost to a payment service provider, and ii) select the one of the debit options associated with the lesser cost to the payment service provider. Mykelbust discloses two or more of the plurality of electronic debit options are determined as being available; and the processor is further configured to i) determine one of the two or more debit options associated with a lesser cost to a payment service provider, and ii) select the one of the debit options associated with the lesser cost to the payment service provider (Fig 15: 562, 564, 568; [0029]). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to

Art Unit: 3696

modify Keown's invention to include two or more of the plurality of electronic debit options are determined as being available; and the processor is further configured to i) determine one of the two or more debit options associated with a lesser cost to a payment service provider, and ii) select the one of the debit options associated with the lesser cost to the payment service provider. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include two or more of the plurality of electronic debit options are determined as being available; and the processor is further configured to i) determine one of the two or more debit options associated with a lesser cost to a payment service provider, and ii) select the one of the debit options associated with the lesser cost to the payment service provider in order to ensure the entire payment process is as efficient as possible.

Regarding claim 22, Keown discloses the system of claim 12. However Keown does not wherein: two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available; and the processor is further configured to i) determine one of the two or more debit options which provides a highest level of protection against financial loss to a payment service provider, and ii) select the one of the debit options which provides the highest level of protection against financial loss to the payment service provider.

Myklebust discloses wherein: two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available (Fig 15: 562,564,568); and the processor is further configured to i) determine one of the two or more debit options which provides a highest level of protection against financial loss to a payment service provider, and ii) select the one of the debit options which provides the highest level of protection against financial

loss to the payment service provider ([0029]; Fig 15:560). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Keown's invention to include two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available; and the processor is further configured to i) determine one of the two or more debit options which provides a highest level of protection against financial loss to a payment service provider, and ii) select the one of the debit options which provides the highest level of protection against financial loss to the payment service provider.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include two or more of the plurality of debit options are determined as being available; and the processor is further configured to i) determine one of the two or more debit options which provides a highest level of protection against financial loss to a payment service provider, and ii) select the one of the debit options which provides the highest level of protection against financial loss to the payment service provider in order to make the entire payment process more efficient.

Regarding claim 23, Keown discloses the method of claim 1. However Keown does not disclose wherein determining a credit option associated with the one of the plurality of debit options includes selecting one credit option from a plurality of a plurality of credit options. Prasiner discloses wherein determining a credit option associated with the one of the plurality of debit options includes selecting one credit option from a plurality of a plurality of credit options (Fig 2: 212,212,214). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Keown's invention to include wherein determining a credit option associated with

the one of the plurality of debit options includes selecting one credit option from a plurality of a plurality of credit options. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include wherein determining a credit option associated with the one of the plurality of debit options includes selecting one credit option from a plurality of a plurality of credit options in order to give the user different options for both credit and debit transactions.

Regarding claim 24, Keown discloses the method of claim 1. However Keown does not disclose wherein automatically selecting one of the plurality of debit options based, at least in part, on at least one processing factor includes selecting one of the plurality of debit options based, at least in part, on the at least one processing factor associated with a stored preference, wherein the stored preference is associated with the payor or a service provider. Praisner discloses wherein automatically selecting one of the plurality of debit options based, at least in part, on at least one processing factor includes selecting one of the plurality of debit options based, at least in part, on the at least one processing factor associated with a stored preference, wherein the stored preference is associated with the payor or a service provider (Fig 2: 206,214).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Keown's invention to include wherein automatically selecting one of the plurality of debit options based, at least in part, on at least one processing factor includes selecting one of the plurality of debit options based, at least in part, on the at least one processing factor associated with a stored preference, wherein the stored preference is associated with the payor or a service provider. One of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 3696

the art would have been motivated to include wherein automatically selecting one of the plurality of debit options based, at least in part, on at least one processing factor includes selecting one of the plurality of debit options based, at least in part, on the at least one processing factor associated with a stored preference, wherein the stored preference is associated with the payor or a service provider in order to ensure the user has a choice in selecting a debiting option.

Claim 25 is being rejected under the same rationale as claim 23.

Claim 26 is being rejected under the same rationale as claim 12.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, with regards to amended claims 1-4, 7-15, 18-22, which were amended without prejudice or disclaimer filed on 1/29/08 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. On page 11, applicant argues the 102(b) rejection. This is now moot, due to the fact that examiner is withdrawing the 102(b) rejection.

On page 12 of Applicant's response, applicant argues that Keown does not disclose the claim element of claim 1, specifically a selection from several debit options for debiting the payor's account. Applicant also argues that the debit option is to be based on one or more processing factors such as risk, cost, etc. In this office action under the 103 rejection section, Mkylebust discloses determining a plurality of debit options, wherein each debit option of the plurality of debit options is applicable for debiting the payor account (Fig 15: 562, 564, 566, 568). Faraar discloses automatically selecting one of the plurality of debit options based, at least in part, on at least one processing factor, wherein the at least one processing factor is at least one of a risk factor, a speed factor, and a cost factor (column 11, lines 51-66). Applicant further argues that claims 2, 3 and 7 are now allowable. However claims 2-26 are now being rejected under the combination of Keown in view of Myklebust in view of Faraar further in view of Praisner. Therefore, in view of the above reasons, Examiner maintains the rejections.

CONCLUSION

Applicant's amendment necessitate the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MOHAMMAD Z. SHAIKH whose telephone number is (571)270-3444. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5); alt Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dixon can be reached on 571-272-6803. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3696

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. Z. S./
Examiner, Art Unit 3696
5/8/2008

/Daniel S Felten/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3696